## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/017,107 MCENTEE ET AL. Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 2863 Michael P Nghiem All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Michael P Nghiem. (2) Bret Field. Date of Interview: 26 October 2004. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference 2) applicant's representative c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 23-25 and 32-40. Identification of prior art discussed: Bruhn (US 6,458,583). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant will provide examples from MPEP regarding a format for a 'product by process' claim (claim 25). Applicant will correct other outstanding formal issues and will submit evidence stating that the application and the Bruhn reference were at the time the invention was made owned by a common assignee, thus, overcoming the 35 USC 103 rejections. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.